



IFW

Docket No.: 95-512

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

ACHARYA

Serial No.: 09/905,067

Filed: July 16, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2661

Examiner: WILSON, Robert W.

For: ARRANGEMENT FOR SWITCHING INFINIBAND PACKETS USING SWITCHING
TAG AT START OF PACKET

STATUS INQUIRY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant has not to date received the Corrected Office Action as requested in the REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICE ACTION AND RESTARTING PERIOD FOR REPLY UNDER MPEP §710.06 (copy attached with PTO stamped receipt) filed February 10, 2006, within one month of the January 11, 2006 mailing date of the Nonfinal Official Action.

The USPTO PAIR Website indicates the case was "Docketed to Examiner in GAU" on March 21, 2006. Subsequent attempts to determine the status of the Corrected Office Action were made by voice message to Examiner Robert Wilson on April 19, 2006; the Examiner responded on April 20, 2006 indicating the case was not docketed to him, but undergoing review by another Examiner in the Group, and that Examiner Wilson could not issue a Corrected Action until the case was docketed to him. Examiner Wilson confirmed on June 12, 2006 that the status had not changed, and to date there has been no change in status at the USPTO PAIR Website.

Status Inquiry filed August 4, 2006
Appln. No. 09/905,067
Page 1

In view of the above-identified Request filed February 10, 2006, Applicant respectfully requests prompt issuance of the Corrected Office Action and restarting of the period for reply, as required under MPEP §710.06.


Respectfully submitted,

Manelli Denison & Selter, PLLC

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L R Turkevich', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Leon R. Turkevich
Registration No. 34,035

Customer No. 20736
Date: August 4, 2006



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Attorney Docket No.: 95-512

Inventor(s): Acharya

Serial No.: 09/905,067



Filed: July 16, 2001

**Title: ARRANGEMENT FOR SWITCHING INFINIBAND PACKETS USING
SWITCHING TAG AT START OF PACKET**

Date Hand Carried: February 10, 2006

**Stamp of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office acknowledging
receipt of the following is requested.**

**Request for Corrected Office Action and Restarting Period for Reply
Under MPEP §710.06**

Papers hand delivered to Commissioner for Patents

Please return stamped receipt to:

Leon R. Turkevich, Esq.
2000 M Street, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20036-3307



Docket No.: 95-512

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of :

ACHARYA :

Serial No.: 09/905,067 :

Group Art Unit: 2661

Filed: July 16, 2001 :

Examiner: WILSON, Robert W.

For: ARRANGEMENT FOR SWITCHING INFINIBAND PACKETS USING SWITCHING
TAG AT START OF PACKET

**REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICE ACTION AND RESTARTING PERIOD FOR
REPLY UNDER MPEP §710.06**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Nonfinal Official Action mailed January 11, 2006, Applicant hereby requests that a Corrected Office Action be issued that corrects the errors identified below. Applicant further requests that the period for reply be restarted to begin with the mailing date of the Corrected Office Action in accordance with MPEP §710.06. This Request is being submitted within one month of the January 11, 2006 mailing date of the Nonfinal Office Action.

The January 11, 2006 Office Action contains the following errors that prevents Applicant from providing a complete response:

1) The Office Action fails to cite any authority requiring Applicant to provide a "full set of drawings". In fact, the drawings submitted November 28, 2005 are in compliance with 37 CFR §1.84(c) ("Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be

Request for Corrected Office Action filed February 10, 2006

Appln. No. 09/905,067

Page 1

identified as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to § 1.121(d)"). The drawings also are in compliance with 37 CFR §1.121(d) ("Any changes to an application drawing must be in compliance with § 1.84 and must be submitted on a replacement sheet of drawings which shall be an attachment to the amendment document and, in the top margin, labeled "Replacement Sheet". Any replacement sheet of drawings shall include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is amended."

Hence, the objection should be withdrawn because there is no requirement to submit a "full set of drawings".

2) Claim 9 (which depends from claim 7) is not identified in the January 11, 2006 Office Action, as required under MPEP §707.07(i). Hence, Applicant is unable to determine whether the claim was intended to recite allowable subject matter, or whether the claim was intended to be rejected under 35 USC §103.

Hence, a Corrected Office Action is needed that (1) withdraws the objection to the drawings, and (2) specifies whether claim 9 is allowable or rejected.

Hence, Applicant hereby requests that a Corrected Office Action be issued and that the period for reply be restarted to begin with the mailing date of the Corrected Office Action in accordance with MPEP §710.06.

Respectfully submitted,


Manelli Denison & Selter, PLLC



Leon R. Turkevich
Registration No. 34,035

Customer No. 20736
2000 M Street, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20036-3307
(202) 261-1000
Facsimile (202) 887-0336

Date: ~~November 28, 2005~~
(~~November 26, 2005 = Saturday~~)


February 10, 2006

Request for Corrected Office Action filed February 10, 2006
Appln. No. 09/905,067
Page 2